

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/693,555	10/20/2000	Kenneth Kornman	MSA-009.01(20974-901)	7773
25181 75	90 07/24/2003			
FOLEY HOAG, LLP PATENT GROUP, WORLD TRADE CENTER WEST 155 SEAPORT BLVD			EXAMINER	
			MYERS, CARLA J	
BOSTON, MA	OSTON, MA 02110		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1634	
		DATE MAILED: 07/24/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/693,555	KORNMAN ET AL.				
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Carla Myers	1634				
The MAILING DATE of this c mmunication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
THE REPLY FILED 23 June 2003 FAILS TO PLACE TH Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applica) a timely filed amendment which	tion. A proper reply to a places the application in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 6 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the control	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CFI of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply on the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or				
A Notice of Appeal was filed on 23 June 2003. Appl 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF).	ellant's Brief must be filed within					
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	` '''					
(a) they raise new issues that would require further		see NOTE below);				
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceli	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claims.				
NOTE:						
$3. \boxtimes$ Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s): See Continuation Sheet.					
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See Continuation Sheet.						
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:						
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1,3,4,6,7 and 85</u> .						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is	a) approved or b) disapp	roved by the Examiner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	·				
10. Other: (a. Co. Mww)						
CARLA J. MYERS PRIMARY EXAMINER						

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Continuation of 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): the objection over the amendment filed October 4, 2002 and the rejection of the claims under 35 USC 112, first paragraph.

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the claims stand rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-double patenting. In the response of June 23, 2003, Applicants state that a terminal disclaimer will be filed once the claims are otherwise allowable.